Interfacial Energy Conversion in RuII Polypyridyl-Derivatized Oligoproline Assemblies on TiO2
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ABSTRACT: Solid-phase peptide synthesis has been applied to the preparation of phosphonate-derivatized oligoproline assemblies containing two different RuII polypyridyl chromophores coupled via “click” chemistry. In water or methanol the assembly adopts the polyproline II (PPII) helical structure, which brings the chromophores into close contact. Excitation of the assembly on ZrO2 at the outer RuII in 0.1 M HClO4 at 25 °C is followed by rapid, efficient intra-assembly energy transfer to the inner RuII (kETr = 3.0 × 107 s⁻¹, implying 96% relative efficiency). The expected energy transfer rate constants in solution and on nanocrystalline ZrO2 suggest that the PPII structure is retained when bound to ZrO2. On nanocrystalline films of TiO2, excitation at the inner RuII is followed by rapid, efficient injection into TiO2. Excitation of the outer RuII is followed by rapid intra-assembly energy transfer and then by electron injection. The oligoproline/click chemistry approach holds great promise for the preparation of interfacial assemblies for energy conversion based on a family of assemblies having controlled compositions and distances between key functional groups.
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Molecular structure and organization are key elements in molecular-level energy conversion. An object lesson is photosystem II in natural photosynthesis where light-driven oxidation of water occurs. Absorption of light in an antenna complex drives a sequence of five electron transfer reactions resulting in oxidative activation of the oxygen evolving complex and delivery of a reductive equivalent, as the semiquinone form of plastoquinone, separated by a distance of ≈50 Å.1,2

At the heart of PSII is a structurally controlled array of light absorbers, electron transfer relays, and catalysts in the thylakoid membranes of chloroplasts. Mimicking these features, both in content and relative orientation, in an artificial device poses a significant synthetic challenge. We report here a systematic strategy based on solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) combined with the copper catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC or ‘click’ reaction) for modular synthesis of a spatially preorganized bichromophoric assembly.3 This strategy has been applied to the preparation of an interfacial assembly for photochemical electron and energy transfer when bound in nanocrystalline films of TiO2.

A number of strategies have been explored for the preparation of light harvesting assemblies including porphyrin arrays,4 polymers,5 DNA,6 dendrimers,7 metal–organic frameworks,8 and molecular assemblies.9 For interfacial applications, as in dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSC)10 or dye-sensitized photoelectrosynthesis cells,11 it is important to combine broad visible to near IR absorption with directional control of energy and electron transfer toward the semiconductor interface. Several examples of surface-bound assemblies have been discussed in the context of DSSCs12 but lack detailed kinetic analysis of the excited-state photophysics.

Controlling the direction of electron and energy transfer requires the control of chromophore positioning and orientation relative to the surface as well as the ability to incorporate different chromophores at specific positions. Peptides are useful as molecular scaffolds for multiple functional units due to the ability to encode highly ordered secondary and tertiary structures based on their amino acid sequence. Oligoprolines with at least five proline residues are particularly notable in this regard because they form left-handed polyproline II (PPII) helices in polar solvents, providing a rigid scaffold for positioning multiple chromophores.13 Additionally, SPPS allows for absolute control of the positioning of functional groups. With application of ‘click’ coupling, the amino acid sequence can be modified systematically with assembly structures by incorporating the appropriate functional groups (i.e., azide or alkyne) at specific locations in the peptide sequence.3 This offers the additional advantage of incorporating molecular components with different functionalities (e.g., light-harvesting chromophores and molecular catalysts for water splitting) with a high degree of structural control.

The well-defined structural characteristics of oligoprolines14 and other peptide scaffolds15 have been exploited previously to investigate the distance dependence of electron and energy transfer in RuII-bpy modified derivatives. Herein, we report the design and synthesis of an oligoproline assembly containing two different chromophores on the surface of nanostructured films of TiO2 and application of ultrafast transient spectro-
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secondary structure; (2) including a RuII polypyridyl complex guided by: (1) having six proline residues to induce helical metal oxide surfaces;16 (3) using a two-proline spacer unit with phosphonate-derivatized bipyridine ligands for binding to between the Ru II chromophores, which in the PPII helix and minimizes their internuclear separation distance; (4) incorporating RuII chromophores with MLCT excited cleavage from the resin, and subsequent solution-phase CuAAC to attach A. For 2, the peptide was synthesized via SPPS up to the interior azidoproline at position 4, followed by on-bead CuAAC to attach B. SPPS was then continued to complete the peptide with azidoproline at the N-terminus and A was attached as for 1. In this way, two different chromophores were attached in a position-dependent manner using the same coupling reaction without the use of orthogonal protecting group chemistry.

In water, at pH = 1.0, 4.0, and 7.4 or in MeOH, 2 exhibits left-handed PPII helical structure as indicated by circular dichroism (Figure S1). Molecular dynamics simulations support the formation of a PPII helical conformation (Figure 2) with the chromophores in close contact and an average Ru–Ru spacing of 13 Å (see SI).

The assemblies were loaded onto 3 μm thick, nanocrystalline (20 nm particles) films of TiO2 or ZrO2 by soaking the films overnight in a 150 μM solution of the peptide in aqueous 0.1 M HClO4. Surface coverage was estimated by UV–visible measurements (Figure S6). Relative to [RuII(bpy)2(4,4′- (PO3H2)2(bpy))]2+ (RuP), which exhibits full surface coverage17 with Γ = 8.6 × 10−8 mol/cm2 (2.9 × 10−8 mol/cm2/μm), assemblies 1 and 2 have nearly full surface coverage with Γ = 7.9 × 10−8 mol/cm2 and 7.1 × 10−8 mol/cm2, respectively.

The dynamic events anticipated to occur following transient excitation of 2 on TiO2 are illustrated in Scheme 1. Photoscopic measurements to demonstrate and evaluate intra-assembly energy transfer and excited-state injection.

Two peptide-chromophore assemblies were investigated: Assembly 1 is a control compound containing only the inner chromophore A, which will bind directly to the surface, whereas assembly 2 contains both an inner and outer chromophore, A and B, respectively (Figure 1). The design of structure 2 was guided by: (1) having six proline residues to induce helical secondary structure; (2) including a RuII polypyridyl complex with phosphonate-derivatized bipyridine ligands for binding to metal oxide surfaces;16 (3) using a two-proline spacer unit between the RuII chromophores, which in the PPII helix (Figure 2), aligns the two chromophores on the same side of the helix and minimizes their internuclear separation distance; (4) incorporating RuII chromophores with MLCT excited states “tuned” to create an energy transfer gradient toward the interface. Although subtle, the latter feature is present in 2 because of the electronic effects of the substituents on the π* acceptor levels in the MLCT excited states of A. The unfunctionalized bpy ligands in B form an excited state that is slightly higher in energy than the functionalized ligands on A.

Assembly 1 was synthesized via SPPS with 4S-azido-L-proline coupled at the N-terminal position, followed by capping, cleavage from the resin, and subsequent solution-phase CuAAC absorption can occur at either A or B. Photoexcitation at the A is expected to result in rapid electron injection into TiO2 as observed for RuP on TiO2 (Scheme 1, eq 1b).17 Deactivation of B* can occur either by energy transfer to A (eq 2) followed by electron injection from A* (eq 3b) or by remote injection from B* (eq 3a). Following electron injection, electron transfer from B to A* (eq 4) is energetically favorable by ~130 mV as indicated by electrochemical measurements. Ultimately the electron in TiO2 will recombine with the oxidized complex (A or B) through back electron transfer (eq 5).

The energy transfer dynamics of 2 (Scheme 1, eq 2) were investigated by time-resolved emission measurements in aqueous 0.1 M HClO4 at rt on the nanosecond time scale both in solution and on nanocrystalline ZrO2 (where electron injection does not occur). As shown in Figure S7, excitation of
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Assembly 1 was synthesized via SPPS with 4S-azido-L-proline coupled at the N-terminal position, followed by capping, cleavage from the resin, and subsequent solution-phase CuAAC absorption can occur at either A or B. Photoexcitation at the A is expected to result in rapid electron injection into TiO2 as observed for RuP on TiO2 (Scheme 1, eq 1b).17 Deactivation of B* can occur either by energy transfer to A (eq 2) followed by electron injection from A* (eq 3b) or by remote injection from B* (eq 3a). Following electron injection, electron transfer from B to A* (eq 4) is energetically favorable by ~130 mV as indicated by electrochemical measurements. Ultimately the electron in TiO2 will recombine with the oxidized complex (A or B) through back electron transfer (eq 5).

The energy transfer dynamics of 2 (Scheme 1, eq 2) were investigated by time-resolved emission measurements in aqueous 0.1 M HClO4 at rt on the nanosecond time scale both in solution and on nanocrystalline ZrO2 (where electron injection does not occur). As shown in Figure S7, excitation of
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Two peptide-chromophore assemblies were investigated: Assembly 1 is a control compound containing only the inner chromophore A, which will bind directly to the surface, whereas assembly 2 contains both an inner and outer chromophore, A and B, respectively (Figure 1). The design of structure 2 was guided by: (1) having six proline residues to induce helical secondary structure; (2) including a RuII polypyridyl complex with phosphonate-derivatized bipyridine ligands for binding to metal oxide surfaces;16 (3) using a two-proline spacer unit between the RuII chromophores, which in the PPII helix (Figure 2), aligns the two chromophores on the same side of the helix and minimizes their internuclear separation distance; (4) incorporating RuII chromophores with MLCT excited states “tuned” to create an energy transfer gradient toward the interface. Although subtle, the latter feature is present in 2 because of the electronic effects of the substituents on the π* acceptor levels in the MLCT excited states of A. The unfunctionalized bpy ligands in B form an excited state that is slightly higher in energy than the functionalized ligands on A.

Assembly 1 was synthesized via SPPS with 4S-azido-L-proline coupled at the N-terminal position, followed by capping, cleavage from the resin, and subsequent solution-phase CuAAC absorption can occur at either A or B. Photoexcitation at the A is expected to result in rapid electron injection into TiO2 as observed for RuP on TiO2 (Scheme 1, eq 1b).17 Deactivation of B* can occur either by energy transfer to A (eq 2) followed by electron injection from A* (eq 3b) or by remote injection from B* (eq 3a). Following electron injection, electron transfer from B to A* (eq 4) is energetically favorable by ~130 mV as indicated by electrochemical measurements. Ultimately the electron in TiO2 will recombine with the oxidized complex (A or B) through back electron transfer (eq 5).

The energy transfer dynamics of 2 (Scheme 1, eq 2) were investigated by time-resolved emission measurements in aqueous 0.1 M HClO4 at rt on the nanosecond time scale both in solution and on nanocrystalline ZrO2 (where electron injection does not occur). As shown in Figure S7, excitation of
2 in solution and on ZrO\textsubscript{2} at 450 nm results in \textsuperscript{3}MLCT emission with a time-dependent shift in the emission maximum from 630 to 645 nm. These observations are consistent with excitation of \textsuperscript{1}A (eq 1a) followed by intra-assembly energy transfer to \textsuperscript{1}B, (eq 2), which is favored by 70 meV (Figure S5). Analysis of the time-dependent emission data by application of model free global analysis resulted in $\tau_{\text{EnT}} = 31 \text{ ns}$ in solution and $\tau_{\text{EnT}} = 33 \text{ ns}$ on ZrO\textsubscript{2} (Figures S8–9 and Tables S1–2). The comparable energy transfer rate constants in solution and on nanocrystalline ZrO\textsubscript{2} suggest that the secondary structure of the oligoproline assembly is retained on the surface of ZrO\textsubscript{2}.

Electron injection kinetics from \textsuperscript{1}A* and \textsuperscript{2}A* into nanocrystalline TiO\textsubscript{2} were measured by transient absorption spectroscopy (Supporting Information). In transient absorption difference spectra, obtained 600 fs after excitation at 475 nm, Figure 3, characteristic $\pi\pi^*$ absorptions appear at 375 nm for the reduced polypyridyl ligand radical anion characteristic of the MLCT excited state, along with a prominent ground-state bleach of \textsuperscript{1}MLCT absorption band of \textsuperscript{1}A and \textsuperscript{1}B at 450 nm. For \textsuperscript{1}A and \textsuperscript{2}A the transient absorption feature at 375 nm disappears rapidly (<1 ns) leaving behind the 450 nm bleach. These spectral changes are a clear signature of electron injection from the assembly into TiO\textsubscript{2}. On longer time scales, 100s of ns, the bleach recovers, due to recombination by back electron transfer of the injected electron in TiO\textsubscript{2} with the oxidized chromophore on the surface.

The intensity of the transient absorption signal at 375 nm is shown as a function of pump–probe delay in Figure 4. For both \textsuperscript{1}A and \textsuperscript{2}A an initial decay in the absorbance occurs in the first 20 ns (Figure 4a), indicative of rapid electron injection by \textsuperscript{1}A* and by inner chromophore \textsuperscript{2}A* in \textsuperscript{2}A (eqs 1b and 3b in Scheme 1). There is a presumably sub-100 fs injection component that lies within the instrument response and is not detected here, but has been reported for similar systems.\textsuperscript{18}

The initial delay is followed by a slower decay which becomes a bleach feature on the 100 ps to 1 ns time scale.\textsuperscript{19} Kinetic analysis of the time-dependent absorbance changes for \textsuperscript{1}A and \textsuperscript{2}A over this time range (Figure 4a) were fit to biexponential kinetics with $\tau_1 = 20$ and $\tau_2 = 200 \text{ ps}$ (Table S3) with the difference being in the amplitudes. We estimate an injection efficiency for \textsuperscript{1}A to be 56% based on the amplitude of the 405 nm transient absorption at 1 ns (SI).\textsuperscript{17}

After 1 ns (Figure 4b), \textsuperscript{2}A decays by complex nonexponential kinetics over a period of several microseconds as found for RuP on TiO\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{17} This is consistent with slow back electron transfer process (eq 5). Assembly \textsuperscript{2}B, on the other hand, shows a continued decrease in the amplitude of the excited-state absorption band over the next 100 ns, followed by a slow decay back to zero. Kinetic analysis of the data by multiexponential fit resulted in $\tau = 20 \text{ ns}$ for the growth of a negative signal (Table S4).

The continued loss of excited-state absorption in \textsuperscript{2}A is indicative of delayed injection into TiO\textsubscript{2} that occurs with a 20 ns time constant. We attribute this delayed injection to excitation of the outer chromophore \textsuperscript{2}B, which then either injects remotely (eq 3a), or undergoes energy transfer to \textsuperscript{1}A (eq 2) followed by fast electron injection (eq 3b). Given the similarity in time scale for loss of excited-state absorption in \textsuperscript{2}A (20 ns) and intra-assembly energy transfer on ZrO\textsubscript{2} (∼30 ns), we ascribe the delayed injection to the latter. In either case, these results point to high efficiency, ~96%, energy transfer/electron injection based on the relative lifetimes for excited-state decay ($\tau \sim 490 \text{ ns}$) and energy transfer/injection, and imply an injection efficiency for \textsuperscript{2}A of 54%. Therefore, \textsuperscript{2}A is an efficient antenna for interfacial sensitization by energy transfer.
The rates of back electron transfer are reflected in the decay of the ground-state bleach transient absorption signal at 450 nm (Figure S10). The back electron transfer kinetics for 1 and 2 exhibit multiplexponential behavior due to the variety of back electron migration pathways in TiO$_2$, as shown previously for RuP under the same conditions. The average lifetimes for recovery of the bleach at 450 nm, <τ>, are 19 and 11 μs for assemblies 1 and 2, respectively, compared to 17 μs for RuP (Table S5). While the average back electron transfer time exceeds a microsecond, there is 20 ns component resulting in slow electron migration pathways in TiO$_2$, as shown previously for 1.
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